

CANADA

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10/686,657 10/17/2003 John V. Marlow T8465812US1 9797 06/15/2005 **EXAMINER** 7590 Arne I. Fors ASHLEY, BOYER DOLINGER Gowling Lafleur Henderson LLP **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER Suite 4900 Commerce Court West 3724 Toronto, ON M5L 1J3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u> </u>
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/686,657	MARLOW ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Boyer D. Ashley	3724	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address	
THE - Extended after - If the - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	May 2005.		
•		nis action is non-final.		
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,11-13,15 and 17</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>9,11-13,15 and 17</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.	•	
Applicat	tion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachme	nt(s)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/17/03. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/17/03. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/17/03. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/17/03.				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/27/04 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 9, 11-13, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stemmler, U.S. Patent 5,022,295, in view of Kalwaites, U.S. Patent 3,881,381 and Larsen et al., U.S. Patent 5,948,566.

Stemmler discloses the invention substantially as claimed including, e.g.,: a cutting roll (2) and an opposing anvil roll (3); a means for journaling the rolls (16,18,19); a conveying means (column 3, lines 45-50, inherent); and a heating means (cartridges 56/57) for the blades, cutting roll, and anvil roll (column 3, lines 5-20) mounted within the rolls (column 5, lines 5-20). Stemmler discloses heating the entire punching device to the temperature required by the workpiece; but is silent as the specific temperature

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/686,657

Art Unit: 3724

range. Stemmler also lacks an index mechanism for facilitating movement of the workpiece.

Kalwaites, however, discloses that it is old and well known in the art to use similar workpieces as Stemmler with conveying elements and heated forming rolls as well as a specific temperature between 160 to 300 degrees Celsius, more specifically 280 to 425 degrees Fahrenheit depending upon the specific workpiece for the purpose of facilitating movement of the workpiece between the forming rolls as well as facilitating forming of the film. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use a workpiece conveyor and specific temperature of 160 to 300 degrees Celsius depending upon the type of workpiece being used with the device of Stemmler. Larsen et al. discloses that it is old and well known in the art to use index rings in conjunction with conveyors depending upon the type of workpiece for the purpose of facilitating movement of the workpiece through the cutting rollers wherein the workpiece is no longer in contact with the conveyor. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use an indexing mechanism in order to ensure that the workpiece is conveyed through the cutting rolls.

It should be noted that the recitations to the specific workpiece do not serve to distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art of record. In apparatus claims, the work piece is not given any patentable weight, because it has been held that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the

Art Unit: 3724

claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In this case, the modified device of Stemmler is fully capable of being used with unpapered freshly pasted expanded, punched or cast lead or lead alloy mesh strip whether or not the device would function perfectly or not.

4. Claims 9, 11-13, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larsen et al., U.S. Patent 5,948,566, in view of Stemmler, U.S. Patent 5,022,295, and Kalwaites, U.S. Patent 3,881,381.

Larsen et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for, e.g., cutting roll (76) and an opposing anvil roll (below 76); a means for journaling the rolls (inherent); a conveying means (60); and a heating means (see columns 7 and 8, lines 55-65 and 1-6); and an indexing mechanism (see column 7, lines 65-57). Larsen et al. discloses heating but is silent as the specific temperature range. However, Stemmler disclose the use of heating the entire punching device to the temperature required by the workpiece; but is silent as the specific temperature range.

Kalwaites, however, discloses that it is old and well known in the art to use cutting devices with conveying elements and heated forming rolls as well as a specific temperature between 160 to 300 degrees Celsius, more specifically 280 to 425 degrees Fahrenheit depending upon the specific workpiece for the purpose of facilitating movement of the workpiece between the forming rolls as well as facilitating forming of the film. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use a workpiece conveyor and specific temperature

Art Unit: 3724

of 160 to 300 degrees Celsius depending upon the type of workpiece being used with the device of Larsen et al

It should be noted that the recitations to the specific workpiece do not serve to distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art of record. In apparatus claims, the work piece is not given any patentable weight, because it has been held that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In this case, the modified device of Stemmler is fully capable of being used with unpapered freshly pasted expanded, punched or cast lead or lead alloy mesh strip whether or not the device would function perfectly or not.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant contends that heating the device of Stemmler to the required temperatures herein would destroy the workpiece in Stemmler. However, it should be noted that the device of Stemmler discloses that it is old and well know to heat all elements of the device. Stemmler is clearly useable with other types of workpieces as taught by Kalwaites with the specific temperatures. One of ordinary skill in the art would readily recognize the need to head every element of the cutting portions to higher temperatures based upon the type of workpiece.

6. For the reasons above, the grounds of rejection are deemed proper.

Art Unit: 3724

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

8. For the reasons above, the grounds of rejection are deemed proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Boyer D. Ashley whose telephone number is 571-272-

4502. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Boyer D. Ashley Primary Examiner

13-AV

Art Unit 3724

BDA

June 13, 2005